

REMARKS

Reconsideration of this application, as amended, is respectfully requested.

Claims 1-26 are pending. Claims 1-26 stand rejected.

Claims 1, 8, 15, and 21 have been amended. No claims have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Support for the amendments is found in the specification, the drawings, and in the claims as originally filed. Applicant submits that the amendments do not add new matter.

REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102

Claims 1-26 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,638,504 of Scott ("Scott").

Applicant has amended claim 1 to particularly out that a proxy that includes a simulation of the revised presentation is created. The proxy that includes the simulation of the revised presentation is displayed during the adding an edit feature to the presentation.

Scott discloses displaying an opened document that contains a proxy in a window. More specifically, Scott discloses the proxy that represents the document that the window is presenting. The proxy is presented as an icon or other geometric figure (col. 4, lines 10-45, Figure 2).

In particular, Scott discloses

b) a proxy element 440, for instance with an appearance derived by retrieving a proxy icon graphic from the stored document. This proxy element, in conjunction with the window containing it, is an object that implements the direct user manipulations described below. In order to implement these operations, the window or the proxy element is furnished with a reference to the stored document;...

(Scott, col. 15, lines 15-23) (emphasis added)

Thus, Scott merely discloses creating a proxy that is presented as an icon or other geometric figure, in contrast to creating a proxy that includes a simulation of the revised

presentation. Additionally, Scott fails to disclose displaying the proxy that includes a simulation of the revised presentation during the adding an edit feature to the presentation to create the revised presentation, as recited in amended claim 1. Accordingly, Scott fails to disclose the following limitations of amended claim 1: creating a proxy that includes a simulation of the revised presentation and displaying the proxy during the adding.

Because Scott fails to disclose all limitations of amended claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 1 is not anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) by Scott.

Because amended claims 8, 15, and 21 contain at least discussed limitations of amended claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claims 8, 15, and 21 are not anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) by Scott.

Given that claims 2-7, 9-14, 16-20, and 22-26 depend from amended claims 8, 15, and 21, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claims 8, 15, and 21 are not anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) by Scott.

Claims 1, 8, 15 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,504,552 of Phillips (“Phillips”).

Amended claim 1 includes the following limitations: creating a proxy that includes a simulation of the revised presentation and displaying the proxy during the adding an edit feature to the presentation to create a revised presentation.

Phillips discloses low-resolution video images that serve as “proxies” for high-resolution digital images (col. 9, lines 5-20). More specifically, Phillips discloses editing the low-resolution images to render special effects to the low-resolution images and to generate special effects description data. The special effects description data are used to generate high-resolution images with the special effects (col. 9, lines 36-49, col. 11, line 60-col. 12, lines 26, col. 13, line 38-col. 14, line 20).

Thus, Phillips merely discloses creating a low-resolution image that is a proxy of a high-resolution image and editing the low-resolution image, in contrast to creating a proxy that includes a simulation of a revised presentation, as recited in amended claim 1. Additionally, Phillips fails to disclose displaying the proxy that includes a simulation of the revised presentation during the adding of an edit feature to the presentation.

Because Phillips fails to disclose all limitations of amended claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claim 1 is not anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) by Phillips.

Because amended claims 8, 15, and 21 contain at least discussed limitations of amended claim 1, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claims 8, 15, and 21 are not anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) by Phillips.

Given that claims 2-7, 9-14, 16-20, and 22-26 depend from amended claims 8, 15, and 21, Applicant respectfully submits that amended claims 8, 15, and 21 are not anticipated under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) by Phillips.


It is respectfully submitted that in view of the amendments and arguments set forth herein, the applicable rejections and objections have been overcome.

Applicant hereby petitions for an extension of time to respond and has enclosed a check for the extension fee. If there are any additional charges, please charge Deposit Account No. 02-2666 for any fee deficiency that may be due.

Respectfully submitted,

BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP

Date: 01/19/2006

By: 
James C. Scheller
31,195

12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Seventh Floor
Los Angeles, California 90025
(408) 720-8300